

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 442 OF 2006

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 29 OF 2000

MRS. PIEDADE RODRIGUES AND 12
ORS.,

....Applicants

Versus

VILLAGE PANCHAYAT OF USGAO-GANJEM
AND 2 ORS.,

....Respondents

Mr. Arun Bras De Sa, Advocate for Applicants.
Mr. M. D'Souza, Advocate for Respondents.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 6th November, 2006

P.C.:

Heard both the parties.

This is an application for correction of typographical mistake in an order passed on 10/3/2006 in Second Appeal No. 29 of 2000.

In para 4 of the body of the order, the impugned property is stated to be wrongly described as Survey No. 21/1. However, perusal of the record shows that it should be 22/1 and not 21/1. On perusal of the entire record I am satisfied that it is nothing but an typographical mistake and, therefore, needs correction.

Hence, the application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and stands disposed of.

The only correction is to be made in the last line of para 4 of the order annexed to the application.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

NH